

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	C.A. No. 10-1067 (LPS)
)	
SYMANTEC CORPORATION, et al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

SYMANTEC'S PROPOSED VERDICT FORM

MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNELL LLP

OF COUNSEL:

/s/ Michael J. Flynn

Dean G. Dunlavey
Joseph H. Lee
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
650 Town Center Drive, 20th Floor
Costa Mesa, CA 92626-1925
(714) 540-1235

Jack B. Blumenfeld (#1014)
Thomas C. Grimm (#1098)
Michael J. Flynn (#5333)
1201 N. Market Street
P.O. Box 1347
Wilmington, DE 19899-1347
(302) 658-9200
jblumenfeld@mnat.com
tgrimm@mnat.com
mflynn@mnat.com

Douglas E. Lumish
Jeffrey G. Homrig
Michelle Woodhouse
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
140 Scott Drive
Menlo Park, CA 94025
(650) 328-4600

Attorneys for Defendant Symantec Corporation

Neil A. Rubin
Kathy Yu
LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
355 South Grand Avenue
Los Angeles, CA 90071-1560
(213) 485-1234
(714) 540-1235

December 19, 2014

In answering these questions, you are to follow all of the instructions I have given you in the Court's charge.

Question No. 1 – '610 Patent Infringement

1.1. Has Intellectual Ventures proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the accused versions of Symantec MessageLabs Email Security.cloud literally satisfy all limitations of claim 7 of the '610 Patent?

Answer "yes" or "no." _____

A "yes" answer is a finding for Intellectual Ventures. A "no" answer is a finding for Symantec.

1.2. Has Intellectual Ventures proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the accused versions of Symantec MessageLabs Email Antivirus.cloud literally satisfy all limitations of claim 7 of the '610 Patent?

Answer "yes" or "no." _____

A "yes" answer is a finding for Intellectual Ventures. A "no" answer is a finding for Symantec.

1.3 Has Intellectual Ventures proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the accused versions of Symantec MessageLabs Instant Messaging Security.cloud literally satisfy all limitations of claim 7 of the '610 Patent?

Answer "yes" or "no." _____

A "yes" answer is a finding for Intellectual Ventures. A "no" answer is a finding for Symantec.

1.4 Has Intellectual Ventures proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the accused versions of Symantec MessageLabs Web Security.cloud literally satisfy all limitations of claim 7 of the '610 Patent?

Answer “yes” or “no.” _____

A “yes” answer is a finding for Intellectual Ventures. A “no” answer is a finding for Symantec.

Question No. 2 – '610 Patent Invalidity

Has Symantec proven by clear and convincing evidence that claim 7 of the '610 Patent is invalid?

Answer “yes” or “no.” _____

A “yes” answer is a finding for Symantec. A “no” answer is a finding for Intellectual Ventures.

Answer Question No. 3 only if you answered “Yes” to any of Questions No. 1.1-1.4 and “No” to Question No. 2. Otherwise skip to Question No. 4.

Question No. 3 – '610 Patent Damages

To the extent that you find claim 7 of the '610 Patent to be both valid and infringed, what sum of money, if any, do you find from the preponderance of the evidence would fairly and reasonably compensate Intellectual Ventures for Symantec's infringement of the '610 Patent?

Answer with the amount: \$ _____

Question No. 4 – '050 Patent Infringement

4.1 Has Intellectual Ventures proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the accused versions of Norton Internet Security literally satisfy all limitations of any of the asserted claims of the '050 Patent?

Answer “yes” or “no” for each claim.

A “yes” answer is a finding for Intellectual Ventures. A “no” answer is a finding for Symantec.

	YES	NO
Claim 9:	_____	_____
Claim 16:	_____	_____
Claim 22:	_____	_____

4.2 Has Intellectual Ventures proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the accused versions of Norton AntiVirus literally satisfy all limitations of any of the asserted claims of the '050 Patent?

Answer “yes” or “no” for each claim.

A “yes” answer is a finding for Intellectual Ventures. A “no” answer is a finding for Symantec.

	YES	NO
Claim 9:	_____	_____
Claim 16:	_____	_____
Claim 22:	_____	_____

4.3 Has Intellectual Ventures proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the accused versions of Norton 360 literally satisfy all limitations of any of the asserted claims of the '050 Patent?

Answer “yes” or “no” for each claim.

A “yes” answer is a finding for Intellectual Ventures. A “no” answer is a finding for Symantec.

	YES	NO
Claim 9:	_____	_____
Claim 16:	_____	_____
Claim 22:	_____	_____

4.4 Has Intellectual Ventures proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the accused versions of Norton One literally satisfy all limitations of any of the asserted claims of the '050 Patent?

Answer “yes” or “no” for each claim.

A “yes” answer is a finding for Intellectual Ventures. A “no” answer is a finding for Symantec.

	YES	NO
Claim 9:	_____	_____
Claim 16:	_____	_____
Claim 22:	_____	_____

4.5 Has Intellectual Ventures proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the accused versions of Symantec Endpoint Protection 12.1 literally satisfy all limitations of any of the asserted claims of the '050 Patent?

Answer “yes” or “no” for each claim.

A “yes” answer is a finding for Intellectual Ventures. A “no” answer is a finding for Symantec.

	YES	NO
Claim 9:	_____	_____
Claim 16:	_____	_____
Claim 22:	_____	_____

Question No. 5 – '050 Patent Invalidity

Has Symantec proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the asserted claims of the '050 Patent are invalid?

Answer “yes” or “no” for each claim.

A “yes” answer is a finding for Symantec. A “no” answer is a finding for Intellectual Ventures.

	YES	NO
Claim 9:	_____	_____
Claim 16:	_____	_____
Claim 22:	_____	_____

Answer Question No. 6 only if you answered “Yes” to any of Question Nos. 4.1-4.5 for any claim and “No” to Question No. 5 for that same claim. Otherwise skip to Question No. 7.

Question No. 6 – ‘050 Patent Damages

To the extent that you find any of the asserted claims of the ‘050 Patent to be both valid and infringed, what sum of money, if any, do you find from the preponderance of the evidence would fairly and reasonably compensate Intellectual Ventures for Symantec’s infringement of the ‘050 Patent?

Answer with the amount: \$_____

Question No. 7 – ‘142 Patent Infringement

7.1 Has Intellectual Ventures proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the accused versions of Symantec Messaging Gateway literally satisfy all limitations of any of the asserted claims of the ‘142 Patent?

Answer “yes” or “no” for each claim.

A “yes” answer is a finding for Intellectual Ventures. A “no” answer is a finding for Symantec.

	YES	NO
Claim 1:	_____	_____
Claim 7:	_____	_____
Claim 17:	_____	_____
Claim 21:	_____	_____
Claim 22:	_____	_____
Claim 24:	_____	_____
Claim 26:	_____	_____

7.2 Has Intellectual Ventures proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the accused versions of Symantec Brightmail Gateway literally satisfy all limitations of any of the asserted claims of the '142 Patent?

Answer “yes” or “no” for each claim.

A “yes” answer is a finding for Intellectual Ventures. A “no” answer is a finding for Symantec.

	YES	NO
Claim 1:	_____	_____
Claim 7:	_____	_____
Claim 17:	_____	_____
Claim 21:	_____	_____
Claim 22:	_____	_____
Claim 24:	_____	_____
Claim 26:	_____	_____

7.3 Has Intellectual Ventures proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the accused versions of Symantec Mail Security Appliance literally satisfy all limitations of any of the asserted claims of the '142 Patent?

Answer “yes” or “no” for each claim.

A “yes” answer is a finding for Intellectual Ventures. A “no” answer is a finding for Symantec.

	YES	NO
Claim 1:	_____	_____
Claim 7:	_____	_____
Claim 17:	_____	_____
Claim 21:	_____	_____
Claim 22:	_____	_____
Claim 24:	_____	_____
Claim 26:	_____	_____

7.4 Has Intellectual Ventures proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the accused versions of Symantec Mail Security for SMTP literally satisfy all limitations of any of the asserted claims of the '142 Patent?

Answer “yes” or “no” for each claim.

A “yes” answer is a finding for Intellectual Ventures. A “no” answer is a finding for Symantec.

	YES	NO
Claim 1:	_____	_____
Claim 7:	_____	_____

Claim 17:	_____	_____
Claim 21:	_____	_____
Claim 22:	_____	_____
Claim 24:	_____	_____
Claim 26:	_____	_____

7.5 Has Intellectual Ventures proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the accused versions of Symantec Message Filter literally satisfy all limitations of any of the asserted claims of the '142 Patent?

Answer “yes” or “no” for each claim.

A “yes” answer is a finding for Intellectual Ventures. A “no” answer is a finding for Symantec.

	YES	NO
Claim 1:	_____	_____
Claim 7:	_____	_____
Claim 17:	_____	_____
Claim 21:	_____	_____
Claim 22:	_____	_____
Claim 24:	_____	_____
Claim 26:	_____	_____

7.6 Has Intellectual Ventures proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the accused versions of Symantec MessageLabs Email Security.cloud literally satisfy all limitations of any of the asserted claims of the '142 Patent?

Answer “yes” or “no” for each claim.

A “yes” answer is a finding for Intellectual Ventures. A “no” answer is a finding for Symantec.

	YES	NO
Claim 1:	_____	_____
Claim 7:	_____	_____
Claim 17:	_____	_____
Claim 21:	_____	_____
Claim 22:	_____	_____
Claim 24:	_____	_____
Claim 26:	_____	_____

7.7 Has Intellectual Ventures proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the accused versions of Symantec Brightmail AntiSpam literally satisfy all limitations of any of the asserted claims of the '142 Patent?

Answer “yes” or “no” for each claim.

A “yes” answer is a finding for Intellectual Ventures. A “no” answer is a finding for Symantec.

	YES	NO
Claim 1:	_____	_____
Claim 7:	_____	_____

Claim 17:	_____	_____
Claim 21:	_____	_____
Claim 22:	_____	_____
Claim 24:	_____	_____
Claim 26:	_____	_____

7.8 Has Intellectual Ventures proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the accused versions of Symantec Mail Security for Microsoft Exchange literally satisfy all limitations of any of the asserted claims of the '142 Patent?

Answer “yes” or “no” for each claim.

A “yes” answer is a finding for Intellectual Ventures. A “no” answer is a finding for Symantec.

	YES	NO
Claim 1:	_____	_____
Claim 7:	_____	_____
Claim 17:	_____	_____
Claim 21:	_____	_____
Claim 22:	_____	_____
Claim 24:	_____	_____
Claim 26:	_____	_____

7.9 Has Intellectual Ventures proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the accused versions of Symantec Mail Security for Lotus Domino literally satisfy all limitations of any of the asserted claims of the '142 Patent?

Answer “yes” or “no” for each claim.

A “yes” answer is a finding for Intellectual Ventures. A “no” answer is a finding for Symantec.

	YES	NO
Claim 1:	_____	_____
Claim 7:	_____	_____
Claim 17:	_____	_____
Claim 21:	_____	_____
Claim 22:	_____	_____
Claim 24:	_____	_____
Claim 26:	_____	_____

Question No. 8 – '142 Patent Invalidity

Has Symantec proven by clear and convincing evidence that any of the asserted claims of the '142 Patent is invalid?

Answer “yes” or “no” for each claim.

A “yes” answer is a finding for Symantec. A “no” answer is a finding for Intellectual Ventures.

	YES	NO
Claim 1:	_____	_____
Claim 7:	_____	_____

Claim 17: _____
Claim 21: _____
Claim 22: _____
Claim 24: _____
Claim 26: _____

Answer Question No. 9 only if you answered “Yes” to any of Question Nos. 7.1-7.9 for any claim and “No” to Question No. 8 for that same claim. Otherwise stop here.

Question No. 9 – ’142 Patent Damages

To the extent you find any of the asserted claims of the ’142 Patent to be both valid and infringed, what sum of money, if any, do you find from the preponderance of the evidence would fairly and reasonably compensate Intellectual Ventures for Symantec’s infringement of the ’142 Patent?

Answer with the amount: \$_____

Date

Foreperson

[add signature blocks for remaining jurors]